



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/340,338	06/25/1999	SPIROS FOTINOS	1581/120	3768

25561 7590 03/27/2002

ALLEN BLOOM
C/O DECHERT
PRINCETON PIKE CORPORATION CENTER
P.O. BOX 5218
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5218

EXAMINER

WARE, TODD

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1615

20

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/340,338

Applicant(s)

FOTINOS, SPIROS

Examiner

Todd D Ware

Art Unit

1615

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponding address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 January 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 and 26-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 and 26-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of request for extension of time (granted) and request for reconsideration both filed 1-8-02 is acknowledged. Claims 1-24, 26-36 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8-13-01 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 1-8, 13, 18-24, 27, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Denzer (6,007,836; hereafter '836).

'836 discloses transdermal vasodilator systems for producing and maintaining the erection of a male penis comprising a combination of vasodilators such as

Art Unit: 1615

prostaglandin E1, papaverine, phentolamine and polymer films (abstract; C7, L38-C8, L5; C8, L53-C9, L6). '836 also discloses the inclusion of isopropyl myristate and polyethylene glycol (C8, L41-52). The compositions of '836 produce an erection "on demand, immediately before sexual intercourse" and are therefore thought to be released in less than one hour.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 1-8-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the instant claims are allowable over '836 since '836 requires an adhesive, while the instant claims do not encompass devices having an adhesive. However, this argument is not persuasive since the claims recite comprising as the transitional phrase. Therefore, the claims are still not closed as the claims "further comprise" ingredients including a stabilizer, a solubilizer, an enhancer, and a plasticizer. Adhesives fall within these ingredients. Furthermore, one would look to the specification to understand what the disk of the instant claims is. There, one finds that the specification discloses that the disk comprises adhesives.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1615

6. Claims 1-8, 10-11, 13, 17-24, 26-27, 29, 34-36 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denzer (6,007,836; hereafter '836).

'836 teaches transdermal vasodilator systems for producing and maintaining the erection of a male penis comprising a combination of vasodilators such as prostaglandin E1, papaverine, phentolamine and polymer films (abstract; C7, L38-C8, L5; C8, L53-C9, L6). '836 also discloses the inclusion of isopropyl myristate and polyethylene glycol (C8, L41-52). '836 does not teach the amount of polyethylene glycol to include in the composition. However, it is submitted that this is a manipulatable parameter that would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to manipulate in an effort to increase or decrease flexibility of the polymer film. The compositions of '836 produce an erection "on demand, immediately before sexual intercourse" and are therefore thought to be released in less than one hour. '836 does not state whether the penile surface requires pre-wetting. It is submitted that since it not say that wetting the surface is required, no pre-wetting is necessary. It is also submitted that pre-wetting the surface would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to aid in adhesion of the patch to the skin, since this would aid in creating a vacuum.

7. Claims 1-14, 17-24, 26-30, 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denzer (6,007,836; hereafter '836) in view of Belsole (4,696,821; hereafter '821).

'836 is relied upon for all that it teaches as stated previously.

Art Unit: 1615

'821 is relied upon for teaching that polyvinylpyrrolidone film is an effective means for controlling the release of an active agent when administered transdermally. '821 also teaches the inclusion of plasticizers in the polyvinylpyrrolidone films wherein the plasticizer is PEG 400. The amount of plasticizer is unclear since '821 teaches weight per volume of liquid. Should applicants traverse on the grounds that the amount of plasticizer of '821 is outside the instant ranges, applicants are requested to submit evidence pertaining thereto. Furthermore, it is submitted that the ranges pertaining to the amount of plasticizer is a manipulatable parameter and it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to adjust the amount of plasticizer in the composition to increase or decrease the flexibility of the film.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to construct the films of '836 from polyvinylpyrrolidone with the expectation that these films would control the release of drug from the patch and the motivation lying therein.

8. Claims 1-8, 10-11, 13, 15-24, 26-27, 29, 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denzer (6,007,836; hereafter '836) in view of Postaire (FR 2710649; hereafter '649).

'836 is relied upon for all that it teaches as stated previously.

'649 is relied upon for teaching transdermal films formulated as a biodegradable patch comprising gliadin gel based on plant prolamines extracted from cereals (wheat) (abstract, p1).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to construct the polymer films of '836 from gliadin with the motivation to provide a transdermal patch to treat impotence that is biodegradable and the expectation that gliadin transdermal patches are biodegradable.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 1-8-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

10. Applicant argues that the instant claims are allowable over '836 since '836 requires an adhesive, while the instant claims do not encompass devices having an adhesive. However, this argument is not persuasive since the claims recite comprising as the transitional phrase. Therefore, the claims are still not closed as the claims "further comprise" ingredients including a stabilizer, a solubilizer, an enhancer, and a plasticizer. Adhesives fall within these ingredients. Furthermore, one would look to the specification to understand what the disk of the instant claims is. There, one finds that the specification discloses that the disk comprises adhesives.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd D Ware whose telephone number is (703) 305-1700. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703)308-2927. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1615

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.


THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

tw
March 21, 2002